

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO		
10/043,763	01/09/2002	Janardhanan S. Ajit	41980/RJP/B600	7260	
23363 75	90 01/12/2004		EXAMINER		
•	ARKER & HALE, LLP LORADO BOULEVARD	CUNNINGHAM, TERRY D			
SUITE 500	LORADO BOOLEVARD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PASADENA, O	CA 91105		2816	2816	
			DATE MAILED: 01/12/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Anr	olication No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary							
			043,763	AJIT, JANARDHANAN S.			
			miner	Art Unit			
	The MAII ING DATE of this communi		y D. Cunningham	2816			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>10 Novem</u>	<u>ber 2003</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2	b)⊡ This actio	n is non-final.				
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 4) Claim(s) 1-6 and 23-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 4.27 and 28 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.3.5.6.26 and 29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2 and 23-25 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers							
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 February 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.							
2) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa		· —	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 26 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 26, lines 3 and 8, "a bias voltage for the integrated circuit" has already been recited in claim 4.

Claim 29 is not understood since it depends from a cancelled claim. It appears that claim 29 should depend from claim 27.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by newly cited art to Bingham (USPN 4,617,473).

With respect to claims 1 and 3, Bingham discloses, in Figs. 1-3, a circuit that provides a method comprising: "accepting a voltage from a power supply input to the integrated circuit (14)"; "accepting a pad voltage (provided to node 26) from an external voltage source (22)"; "comparing (with 36 and 56)"; "coupling (via 132) a bias voltage (V-) to a gate of a PMOS device (48) when 3 the power supply is below the predetermined value"; and "coupling (via 48) the pad voltage to a bias_mid node (30) to provide the bias voltage".

Application/Control Number: 10/043,763

Art Unit: 2816

Examiner has fully considered Applicant's remarks for the above rejection and has not found them to be persuasive. The rejection has been modified responsive to the amendment.

Clearly, it would be more than reasonable to consider V- as "a bias voltage for the integrated circuit".

With respect to claims 5 and 6, Bingham discloses, in Figs. 1-3, a circuit that provides a method comprising: "providing V_{DDO} (14) to a control electrode of a first semiconductor device (72)"; "providing bias_mid (V-) (via 92) to a source of the first semiconductor device (72) such that the first semiconductor device will turn off when V_{DDO} - bias_mid is less than the threshold of the first semiconductor device"; and "providing bias_mid to a gate of an MOS device (48)"; in response to the turn off the first semiconductor device to couple Vpad to bias_mid".

Examiner has fully considered Applicant's remarks for the above rejection and has not found them to be persuasive. The rejection has been modified responsive to the amendment.

Clearly, it would be more than reasonable to consider V- as "bias_mid".

Claims 2 and 23-25 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 26 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 29 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to be dependent on claim 27.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/043,763 Page 4

Art Unit: 2816

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terry Cunningham whose telephone number is 703-308-4872. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan can be reached on 703-308-4876. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

TC January 8, 2004

Terry D. Cunning far Primary Examiner Art Unit 2816